

Meeting Minutes Form

To be completed within one week of any meeting of the ACCESS Initiative and shared with the evaluation team for tracking of effort. Add and remove rows as necessary and type directly into tables.

Meeting Information

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Date | 12-8-10 |
| Location | FAP |
| Committee/workgroup name | Pilot Workgroup |
| Meeting type (e.g., regular, as needed, training, workshop) | Regular |
| Time starting | 4:25 |
| Time ending | 6:10 |

| | |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Date of next meeting | 12/15/10 |
| Time of next meeting | |
| Location of next meeting | FACC |

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Name of person recording minutes | Emily Dworkin |
| Organization/group represented by person recording minutes | Evaluation Team |

Attendance (or include list of regular/standing members with spaces for new/visiting members)

| <i>Name</i> | <i>Organization/Group Representing</i> |
|------------------|--|
| Connie Kaiser | JDC |
| Leon Bryson | PLL |
| Teresa Zebe | Probation |
| Siobhan Reynolds | Probation |
| Lisa Massa | DCFS |
| Jonte Rollins | ACCESS |
| Regina Parnell | ROE |
| Ladine Shelby | Parent |
| Robin McClain | Unit 4 |

| | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| Melissa Neely | Parent/Evaluation team |
| Juli Kartel | Community Elements |
| Troy Burks | Family Advocacy |
| Imani Bazzel | Family Advocacy |
| Patricia Avery | CUAP |
| Claire Cape | CUAP |
| Shawn Lampkins | ACCESS- Youth Engagement |
| Brother Al Jamal | Community/Coordinating Council |
| Adrienne Spires | ACCESS |
| Shondra Summerville | ACCESS |
| Tracy Parsons | ACCESS |

Announcements Made

Please list any announcements made at the beginning of this meeting.

| |
|--|
| <i>Announcements</i> |
| SOFT is having open house Dec 14 4-5:30 |
| Troy Burks elected regional president of NAACP |

Notes on Discussion

At the beginning of the meeting, record all listed agenda items in the left column. If the agenda item is not discussed, leave the corresponding "discussion" section blank. If discussion occurs on a topic not covered in the agenda, please list the overarching topic under "non-agenda discussion points" and take notes as you would for agenda items.

Notes should be sufficiently detailed so that someone not present at the meeting can follow the basic elements of the discussion. These notes are also intended to serve as a record of meeting content. Add space and lines as needed.

| <i>Agenda Items</i> | <i>Discussion</i> |
|--|---|
| Introductions, reviewing meeting agenda, announcements | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Summary of where we are, where we're going -Many people trying to figure out pilot for service delivery to get things off the ground. Lots of brainstorming, lots of different models. Trying to figure out how to fine tune, who should be involved. -We have a full month to work out lots of details. -Thought about using this space as breakout space. Been meeting collectively, doesn't move us far enough. -3 things for this meeting to focus on. Just coming up with |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>ideas- not prescriptive, just idea generating. Will process together.</p> <p>1) Job description. Have a rough draft that needs work. Need to figure out what we're calling the position. This position involves community, parents, and youth connecting people and serving as facilitators. Peer support specialists. What are their qualities and qualifications? Want a separate youth job description with many of same components as for adults.</p> <p>2) Need to figure out what assessment tools and enrollment processes we want to use. How are people going to be assessed to be connected to services? What tools do we want to use? Provided a brief that compares the assessment tools used on girls. The brief contains a list of questions you should look at when evaluating an assessment tool.</p> <p>-Question- Should enrollment/assessment be together? Answer- Maybe, because tool will impact what you can do.</p> <p>3) Community youth and peer and family partners would all be in a shared space/home. What we haven't defined are qualities and qualifications of that home. Are we comfortable with the "home" language? Ohio has assessment houses that are essentially community centers. This will be a home base where folks can keep files/data, use information system, touch base, contact and collect. Since we want to use contractual pools, we will have to keep track of shifts of people. Could be nighttime shift and morning shift. Should there be one space or multiple?</p> <p>-There will be 25-40 youth (connected to families) in the pilot. Will be youth from Champaign Schools with SEDs and attendance issues, youth from Urbana 5th grade and middle schools (they are already implementing PBIS, so we want to see how ACCESS complements this work), and youth brought to justice center and released without detention. These groups will have overlapping and intersecting issues. Will have to think strategically.</p> |
| Review trauma informed and justice touchstones | |
| Break out groups | <p>[Notes for Assessment and Enrollment Group]</p> <p>-Child Severity of Psychiatric Illness (CSPI)- SAS uses this to see whether clients need hospitalization. Training goes along with this. Quick tool. Pretty standard. Used cross state with SAS. JDC uses it with mental health screening. If trained in CANS, can do CSPI.</p> <p>-Pro: Fast.</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>-Con: Requires well-trained interviewer.</p> <p>-Youth/parent self-report- PLL uses this at the beginning of the intervention and again at the 6th week. Teens no longer do self-report.</p> <p>-Pro: Pretty exhaustive. Good basis for where family's at. Can be administered wherever.</p> <p>Con: Very long (100+ questions), hard to get teen to fill out. 30 min. Cautious about allowing people to take home. People see it as test. Look is intimidating. Need someone to sit there with you. Some parents are lower functioning, may need assistance. Not sure if get same info if someone is filling out (maybe more accurate) for you vs. parent/youth is filling out.</p> <p>-Clicker system- Suggestion that we use a clicker system so youth/parent can look at computer screen and remotely put in the answer. Don't know how effective that would be. Could use audio rather than having them read.</p> <p>-Response: Ideally, assessment tools give information while building relationship. Would clicker do that?</p> <p>-Question- What do we have to have for evaluation? How do we identify who meets our eligibility criteria?</p> <p>-Answer- Have to have SED criteria, but might be more nuanced about this. Might have elements we want to see beyond that. Maybe indicators for future problems.</p> <p>-Question- Do we want a screening or an assessment tool? Those are different.</p> <p>-What do we want out of an assessment or screening tool?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Need something short and to the point. -Specifically hit SEDs. -Want something under 10 minutes. -Maybe pre-assessment to get into tier of service, then assessment depending on tier. -Maybe a flowchart of what assessment tools will be used depending on outcome of screening -Want a screening tool that doesn't come up with diagnosis. Meet broad criteria, start funneling. Want kids with all different levels. <p>-Youth Assessment Screening Instrument (YASI)- Input answers, tells you level of risk. Pre-screen takes about 15 minutes. Not hard to get information and plug it in. If it's working, it's ideal. Use motivational interviewing. Can do it on paper, have someone else input it. 2 day training. Has a prescreen and full assessment. Full assessment takes 35-45 minutes.</p> <p>-Pros: Like that broken into different categories. Pre-assessment is simple. Strengths-based. If it was working, it would be excellent. One-on-one interview. Lots of people could do it. Is it culturally competent. Domains cover everything.</p> |
|--|---|

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Cons: Switched to web based, have had problems. Costs \$10,000 (annually?). -Suggestion: Maybe a 2-day intake process? -Response: Might lose them. -Question: How could we identify and use preexisting diagnosis? -Answer: General release? -Question- What do you say to parents to get release? Answer- Relationship building. Make sure parents know rights. -Action steps <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Will look over materials -Try to narrow down to two instruments |
| Reconvene- report back | <p>Job Description</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Connie will take lead on putting draft together -Imani will edit the rough draft -Sean will work on the youth description <p>Home</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Potential name: "The Village Center" -What group wants out of the home <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Central to target community if one location -Possibly multiple sites? -Near public transportation -Internet accessible -Fiscally sound -Have some resources already, like HR/admin -ADA compliant -Culturally competent, both in established relationship with target community, and in policies and practices -Flexible funds- access to money -Collaborative, willing to work with multiple levels of supervision. Clinica director will have lots of responsibilities, willing to share space, willing to operate under ACCESS service delivery. -Willing to be flexible with time Mon-Sun? 24/7? -Agency buy-in -Willing to collaborate with family-youth teams. -Willing to be listed on universal release forms. -Safety. Trauma/justice informed. Physically and emotionally safe place. Well-lit, side walks. Couches in waiting room? High-risk groups meeting at same time? -Build new buildings? Help people remodel? -Juvenile justice. Restorative, not punitive practices. -Family-directed. Including families in building of village- design and development. -Maybe find an interim spot, develop a long-term strategy <p>Assessment/Screening, Enrollment</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">-Looked at few tools, reviewed questions for reviewing tools, screening vs. assessment, family engagement-Tasks- look at document that outlines a lot of tools, educate ourselves, what are strengths/weaknesses. Suggestions and recommendations. What things cost, whether they're culturally competent, how long they take. Generally liked the YASI. |
|--|---|

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| <i>Non-Agenda Discussion Points</i> | <i>Discussion</i> |
| None | |

Decisions Made

Please list any key decisions made during this meeting.

| |
|------------------|
| <i>Decisions</i> |
| None |

Follow-Up Responsibilities

Please list any tasks delegated during this meeting, the person to whom the task is assigned, and the deadline for task completion.

| <i>Task</i> | <i>Point Person</i> | <i>Deadline</i> | <i>Date Complete (or ongoing)</i> |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Develop adult job description | Connie | Within next week | |
| Edit job description | Imani | Within next week | |
| Develop youth job description | Sean | Within next week | |
| Read through assessment instruments | Assessment/screening/enrollment team | Within next week | |